

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,940	09/12/2003	Franco Ambri	M1025/7010	7032
21127	7590 09/22/2006		EXAMINER	
RISSMAN JOBSE HENDRICKS & OLIVERIO, LLP			SAYALA, CHHAYA D	
ONE STATE SUITE 800	ESTREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA 02109			1761	
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/660,940	AMBRI, FRANCO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	C. SAYALA	1761
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING C - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinded will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	— s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	า	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)□ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applicat	ion No
Copies of the certified copies of the price		ed in this National Stage
application from the International Burea		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.
Attachment(s)	□	(DTO 110)
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Datice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application
. 401 110(0)111011 0010	o)	

Application/Control Number: 10/660,940 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Backlund (US Patent 4372872) in view of Zakiewicz (US Patent 6627680) and further in view of Meunier, deceased et al. (US Patent 4867779) or Macchioni et al. (US Patent 6488735) and Sawyer, Jr. (US Patent 4052694).

Backlund teaches combining molten sulfur at 260°F with clay and a surfactant to promote wetting of the sulfur and to increase formation of very fine particles. (Claims 2 and 6, col. 2, lines 30-44), the surfactant being in an amount 0.05 to 5 % by weight. The patentee states that a number of surfactants are useful in the practice of the invention, although at col. 4, line 39, he states that the surfactants are "primarily nonionic". The sulfur is an amount 10 to about 70% by weight (claim 8). The clay is used in an amount 25% slurry (col. 5, lines 45-50). Note that at col. 3, lines 55-68, the patent teaches the addition of fertilizers and nutrients, and specifically states that the solution/suspension of the invention, can also contain added trace nutrients (col. 4, lines 1-4). The patent does not teach ionic surfactants or the glassy matrix carrier for the trace nutrients.

Art Unit: 1761

The Zakiewicz patent teaches melting sulfur and combining it with a nucleating agent such as silica derived materials or clay or combinations thereof and also combining the sulfur with coalescing agents such as fertilizers, like urea, phosphates, humic acid, etc. (col. 2, lines 19-42, col. 6, lines 55-60). The patent teaches mixing the sulfur with the nucleating agents which are at the desired size for mixing with the sulfur.

While Zakiewicz teaches that fertilizers as well as silicates can be added to molten sulfur, Meunier, deceased et al. teach fertilizers having favorable nutrient release rates, that are silicates, specifically glass powder, that contain nutritive elements such as Fe, Mn, Zn, etc. See col. 1. Alternatively, Macchioni et al. teach a fertilizer with a glass matrix (silicates) that carries Zn, Co, Cu, Mn, etc. and also in the form of a fine powder mixed with a dispersant. See col. 4, lines 1-10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use such silicates or glass powders that carry such trace elements that the secondary references teach, i.e. a powdered glass matrix that carries Zn, Cu, Co, Mn, etc., in the invention of the Zakiewicz and Backlund combination, for the benefits shown, which is the favorable release rate of such glassy matrices.

Sawyer, Jr teaches the use of clay as a suspending agent to which is added wetting and dispersing agents such as sulfonates. They facilitate the suspension of sulfur powder in it. See col. 2, lines 45-49 and examples 1-3. The patent teaches that the use of sulfonates as dispersants and clay resulted in stable homogeneous suspensions with good flow properties over an extended period of time. For these

Art Unit: 1761

reasons, to use sulfonates instead of non-ionic surfactants in the Backlund invention would have been *prima facie* obvious.

2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 90/03350 in view of Meunier, deceased et al. (US Patent 4867779) or Macchioni et al. (US Patent 6488735).

A sulfur based fertilizer/soil conditioner is disclosed by the WO patent that contains sulfur combined with clay, such as bentonite, at least about 0.5%wt of a surfactant, such as lignosulfonate (page 4, line 25). The preferred embodiment teaches 93% S, 5% clay and 2% wt lignosulfonate (page 4, last line and page 5, line 1). The patent teaches mixing the components and extruding this. It does not teach the glassy matrix and trace elements. At page 5, lines 8-10, however, the patent states that "Other fertilizers in the form of powders can also be added to the mixture", "particularly compounds containing macro- and/or meso- and/or micro-elements."

Meunier, deceased et al. teach fertilizers having favorable nutrient release rates, that are silicates, specifically glass powder, that contain nutritive elements such as Fe, Mn, Zn, etc. See col. 1. Alternatively, Macchioni et al. teach a fertilizer with a glass matrix (silicates) that carries Zn, Co, Cu, Mn, etc. and also in the form of a fine powder mixed with a dispersant. See col. 4, lines 1-10.

Therefore, to incorporate the fertilizers of these secondary references would have bee *prima facie* obvious since the primary patent itself recognizes its usefulness.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,940 Page 5

Art Unit: 1761

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. SAYALA whose telephone number is 571-272-1405.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Group 1700.